

**RMP Sources Pathways and Loading Workgroup Meeting Agenda**

**May 29th, 2014, 10:00am - 4:00pm**

**SFEI 1st floor conference room, 4911 Central Avenue, Richmond, CA 94804**

**913-227-1219 - Guest code: 671719**

**Desired outcomes:**

Input and ratification of the overall programmatic balance of monitoring and modeling to support management questions, and process and timeline for addressing remaining uncertainties

Input and endorsement of the proposed synthesis report outline

Input and endorsement of the proposed modeling work plan for the 2014 and 2015 proposals

1. Input and ratification of WY 2015 monitoring design

|  | Title | **Time** | **Staff** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1** | Introduction (Attachment #1)Welcome, introductions, ground rules  * Goals for today’s meeting: review desired outcomes, list of questions to be resolved * Overview of RMP planning | 10:00 | Jay Davis |
| **1a** | **Overview of SPL activities and objectives**   * Program management questions and previous action-items, progress report * Projected timeline of plans and deliverables for WY2014-15 | 10:10 | Lester McKee |
| **1b** | **Overview of related stormwater program activities and objectives: Management Q4 focus (Attachment #2)**   * March 2014 IMR parts B&C submission summary * October 2013 Green Streets submission summary * Summary of information priorities for WY2015 and beyond | 10:20 | Chris Sommers/ Arleen Feng |
| **2** | **Review management questions – how are they evolving? (Attachment #3)**  Desired outcome: Informational update   * Summarize management question evolution for PCBs, Hg, other pollutants   + Increased focus on management of source areas. Where, transport mechanism, which management measure is best, how many, and how effective will they be?   + Maintain some effort for pollutants other than PCBs and Hg | 10:35 | Richard Looker/ Tom Mumley |
| **3** | **Programmatic monitoring and modeling to address new focus for management questions (Attachments #4, #5, #6)**  Desired outcomes: Obtain feedback on and endorsement of the programmatic focus for WY 2016-19. Feedback on and endorsement of synthesis report outline.  Part 1 (45 min)   * Presentation of programmatic alternative scenarios including draft proportional budget allocations * Advisor feedback, open discussion   Part 2 (30 min)   * Present purpose of synthesis report and proposed outline in relation to management questions (MQ1-4 and sub-questions). * Advisor feedback, open discussion   Recap areas of agreement, outstanding issues, information gaps, and action items | 10:50 | Lester |
|  | **Lunch (provided)** | 12:05 |  |
| **4** | **Modeling program using the regional watershed spreadsheet model (RWSM) (Attachment #7)**  Desired outcome: Status update – what have we learned? Identify and prioritize next steps in relation to RWSM development and application   * Decisions about 2014 funds   + Are the proposed immediate uses of the uncalibrated model reasonable?   + Redevelop the PCB model based on hydrology?   + Advisor feedback, open discussion * Decisions about 2015 funds   + Either complete further development based on hydrology?   + Or complete further improvement of the sediment based PCB & Hg models?   + Advisor feedback, open discussion * Programmatic discussion (2016 and beyond)   + Model Hg and PCBs loads and rank watersheds/patches (MQ1)?     - Better source area calibration data   + Model other pollutants (MQ2)   + Model predicted loads reductions associated with control measures implemented in specific areas? (MQ4)   + Advisor feedback, open discussion   Recap areas of agreement, outstanding issues, information gaps, and action items | 12:35 | Jing Wu/ Lester |
| **5** | **WY 2015 monitoring design with a focus on MQ1 (Attachments #8, #9)**  Desired outcomes: Receive advice and review on WY 2015 monitoring design.  Primary question: What is the most cost effective monitoring design scenario for MQ1: Identifying and ranking (high/medium/low) leverage polluted drainages?  Part 1 (20 min)   * Decisions about design options (trade-off between scientific rigor (# samples, method inter-comparison), number of sites visited (maximum identification of leverage areas), and analytes included? * Advisor feedback, open discussion   Part 2 (20 min)   * Decisions about remote sampler options * Advisor feedback, open discussion   Part 3 (45 min)   * Synthesis of options for monitoring field procedures * Advisor feedback, open discussion   Part 4 (20 min)   * Discussion and input on rationale for site selection * Advisor feedback, open discussion   Recap areas of agreement, outstanding issues, information gaps, and action items | 1:35 | Alicia Gilbreath |
| **6** | **Workgroup wrapup**  Desired outcome: Provide an opportunity for closing remarks from workgroup advisors and participants. | 3:30 | Jay |
| **7** | **Review meeting outcomes, plan next meeting** | 3:50 | Jennifer Hunt |
| **8** | **Adjourn** | 4:00 | Jay |