Microplastics are tiny particles of plastic five millimeters or smaller, and they enter the environment through human use. Beauty products with microbeads, synthetic clothing, plastic bags, polystyrene foam packaging, and disposable plastic items can all contribute to microplastic pollution.

Wildlife mistake microplastics for food. When eaten, the tiny particles expose them to pollutants within the plastics or absorbed from their surroundings.

In a pilot study, microplastic pollution appeared to be greater in San Francisco Bay than in the Great Lakes and Chesapeake Bay. Microbeads from beauty products and tiny fibers, likely from synthetic clothing, were found in all nine Bay water samples.

Microparticles passed through Bay Area wastewater treatment plants, even those using the most advanced technologies. Bay Area wastewater typically had more of these particles than wastewater in other parts of the US, but data are extremely limited. Fibers made up most of the particles released into the Bay via treated wastewater. Not all of these fibers are known to be plastic.

**WHAT DO THEY LOOK LIKE?**

- **MICROBEADS**: pellets and fragments used in personal care products such as facial scrubs and toothpastes
- **FOAMED PLASTIC PARTICLES**: from packaging, cigarette filters, and other items
- **FIBERS**: derived from clothes and fabrics made with synthetic materials (polyester, acrylic) or fishing lines
- **NURDLES**: pre-production plastic pellets that are molded into larger plastic products
- **FRAGMENTS**: from the photodegradation of larger plastic items such as plastic bottles
- **PELLET**: used in personal care products such as facial scrubs and toothpastes
- **FOAM**: from packaging, cigarette filters, and other items
- **FILM**: derived from clothes and fabrics made with synthetic materials (polyester, acrylic) or fishing lines
- **FRAGMENT**: from the photodegradation of larger plastic items such as plastic bottles

Microscope view of microplastic particles found in the Bay. Courtesy Sherri A. Mason.
How do microplastics end up in wastewater released to the Bay?

Microbeads from the beauty products we wash down the drain and synthetic fibers rinsed from clothing by our washing machines make their way to the Bay because they are too small, light-weight, and inert to be fully removed by treatment plants.

Fibers made up most of the particles in wastewater. They may be derived from plastic and non-plastic sources. Many of the fragments in wastewater are thought to be microbeads derived from beauty products.

SFEI scientists collected particles from wastewater at eight Bay Area wastewater treatment plants using sieves. The eight plants discharged an average of 0.33 particles per gallon. This was more than four times the average of 0.07 particles per gallon observed in a study of nine facilities in other parts of the US, part of a larger study of municipal wastewater treatment facilities that Dr. Sherri A. Mason is completing now.

On average, Bay Area facilities released an estimated 7,000,000 particles per day to San Francisco Bay.
Bay Surface Water

HOW ELSE DO MICROPLASTICS END UP IN THE BAY?

Wastewater is not the only pathway for microplastics to enter the Bay. Rain carries plastic litter of all sizes from land into the Bay through urban creeks and storm drains. Illegal dumping and wind-borne plastic trash also add to the plastic pollution in the Bay.

Bay surface water contained more fragments and fewer fibers than wastewater. Some of the plastic pollution in the Bay comes from stormwater, which likely has different sorts of particles than wastewater.

Processes that occur within the Bay, such as breakdown of larger plastic litter, settling of heavier particles on the Bay floor, and ingestion by wildlife can also affect the array of particles found in Bay surface water.

Scientists with SFEI, San Francisco Baykeeper, and the 5 Gyres Institute collected surface water samples at nine locations in the Bay by deploying a Manta Trawl – a device that skims the water surface – for 30 minutes. Additional monitoring is needed to confirm these findings and determine the full extent of Bay microplastic pollution.

The pilot study suggested that San Francisco Bay has more microplastic pollution than other major water bodies in the US.

Symbols plotted at trawl midpoints. Map courtesy Pete Kauhanen.
MICROPLASTICS IN FISH

We found 52 particles in nine small fish caught during Bay surface water sampling. This average of nearly six particles per fish is higher than the one to three particles typically found in Great Lakes fish.

WHY ARE WE CONCERNED?

Microplastics can contain toxic pollutants, and may be ingested by aquatic organisms that mistake them for food. These plastic particles can cause physical blockages, starvation, and increased exposure of wildlife to contaminants. Microplastics accumulate in digestive organs, and people are most likely to be exposed to them if they consume wildlife whole. However, human exposure to the toxic pollutants transferred by microplastics could occur from eating any part of an affected fish or shellfish.

Microbeads are plastic particles intentionally added to beauty products for their abrasive qualities. Beauty products with microbeads typically list “polyethylene” or “polypropylene” as an ingredient. Microbeads include both round, bead-like, brightly-colored plastic pellets, and rough, plain fragments.

In December 2015, President Obama signed the Microbead-Free Waters Act of 2015, a law that bans the manufacture of rinse-off personal care products containing microbeads by July 1, 2017, and the sale of such products by January 2, 2018. Many companies have already committed to eliminating these ingredients from their products.

Meanwhile, consumers can limit their personal contributions to microplastic pollution by avoiding products that contain microbeads, choosing clothing made from natural fibers, and taking care not to litter or flush plastic materials down the toilet.

WHAT’S NEXT?

This study provides an initial baseline understanding of current conditions in the Bay as scientists, policymakers, and industry leaders work towards reducing the impact of microplastic pollution. More monitoring is needed to confirm these results and track trends in microplastic levels in response to the microbead ban and other policy changes designed to reduce plastic pollution.

Further study of microplastics in Bay fish is needed to determine whether they are more contaminated than fish in other major water bodies, and to investigate the potential for accumulation of microplastics and attached pollutants in sport fish that people eat.
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